arXiv:1507.06277v2 [math.NT] 3 Sep 2016

HASSE PRINCIPLES FOR MULTINORM EQUATIONS

E. BAYER-FLUCKIGER, T.-Y. LEE, AND R. PARIMALA

Abstract. Let k be a global field and let L_0,...,L_m be finite separable field extensions of k. In this paper, we are interested in the Hasse principle for the multinorm equation $\prod_{i=0}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(t_i) = c$. Under the assumption that L_0 is a cyclic extension, we give an explicit description of the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle. We also give a complete criterion for the Hasse principle for multinorm equations to hold when L_0 is a meta-cyclic extension.

Keywords: multinorm, tori, Shafarevich groups, local-global principles, Brauer-Manin obstructions

MSC 2000: 11G35, 12G05,14G05, 14G25.

0. **Introduction**

Let k be a global field, and L be a finite separable extension of k. We say that the *Hasse norm principle* holds for L if the local-global principle holds for the following equation:

$$N_{L/k}(t) = c \tag{0.1}$$

for all $c \in k^\times$; the terminology is based on Hasse's famous result ([Ha31],[Ha32] §I (3.11) and §II (15)) that this norm principle holds in the case of *cyclic* extensions. Since Hasse's result, the norm principle attracted a lot of attention : it is known not to hold in general, and many positive results were also proved, see for instance [PlR] p. 308-309 for details. For more recent results on the failure of the Hasse norm principle, see [BN16], [FLN] and the references therein.

It is natural to ask for Hasse principles in the case when L is a finite dimensional commutative *étale algebra*, and not just a field extension. More precisely, let $L_0, \dots, L_m$ be finite separable field extensions of k and $L = \prod_{i=0}^{m} L_i$. We are interested in the Hasse principle for the following equation:

$$N_{L/k}(t) = \prod_{i=0}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(t_i) = c, \tag{0.2}$$

where $c \in k^\times$. This equation is the so-called multinorm equation for the L_i's.

This more general problem also received a lot of attention, in particular from Hürlimann ([Hu84]), Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc (unpublished), Platonov

---

Date: September 6, 2016.

and Rapinchuk (see [PlR 94], sections 6.3. and 9.3), Prasad and Rapinchuk ([PR10], Section 4). In [Hu84], Hürlimann considers the case where $m = 1$, L_0 is a cyclic extension and L_1 is Galois disjoint from L_0. He proves that the Hasse principle holds in this case. Recently, Pollio and Rapinchuk ([PoR13]), Demarche and Wei ([DW14]) also establish the Hasse principle under some Galois disjointness assumptions on L_i's. Note that multinorm equations also arise in dealing with classical groups of type A_n (see for instance [PR10] Prop. 4.2).

In this paper, we approach this multinorm problem from the point of view of torsors and the Brauer-Manin obstruction. Let k^s be a separable closure of k, and set $\Gamma_k = \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$. The k-affine variety X_c defined by the multinorm equation (0.2) is a principal homogeneous space under the torus T_0, where T_0 is defined by the following exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow T_0 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=0}^{m} N_{L_i/k}} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1 \,. \tag{0.3}$$

Since X_c is a principal homogeneous space under T_0, for $x \in X_c(k^s)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$, we have ${}^{\sigma}x = x.s_\sigma$ for some $s_\sigma \in T_0(k^s)$. The map $s : \Gamma_k \to T_0(k^s)$ sending σ to s_σ defines a 1–cocycle and the class $[s] \in \mathrm{H}^1(k, T_0)$ only depends on the isomorphism class of the principal homogeneous spaceX_c. Let us denote this class by $\eta(X_c) \in \mathrm{H}^1(k, T_0)$. Furthermore, if X_c has a k_v-point for all v, then $\eta(X_c) \in Ш^1(k, T_0) \simeq Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)^*$ is the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the local-global principle for the existence of k-points of X_c (see [San81] 8.1, 8.2, 8.4). It is known that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for X_c (see [San81] 8.7). In fact, the Galois disjointness assumption in [Hu84], [PoR13] and [DW14] implies that $Ш^1(k, T_0) \simeq Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)^* = 0$ in their cases. Hence the Brauer-Manin obstruction is trivial and the Hasse principle always holds under their assumptions (see [Hu84] Prop. 3.3, [PoR13] Main Thm. and Prop. 15, [DW14] Thm. 1 and Cor. 8.).

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the case where L_0 is a cyclic extension without any further assumption on m and other L_i's. Contrary to the cases considered in [Hu84], [PoR13] and [DW14], the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ is not always trivial in our case, which means that the Hasse principle may fail for some X_c. Hence to find a necessary and sufficient condition for the Hasse principle for X_c to hold, we have to write down concretely the Brauer-Manin obstruction, which is hard in general. In Section 3, we give a concrete description of the group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$. In Section 4, for a given X_c which has local points everywhere, we define a map

$$\alpha : Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which is called the Brauer-Manin map for X_c. We prove that X_c has a global point if and only if the map $\alpha = 0$. Since the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for X_c, the Brauer-Manin map defined in Section 4 gives an explicit description of the Brauer-Manin obstruction in this case. Section 6 contains some examples and the aim of Section 7 is to give an application of the results of the paper.

1. **Notation and definitions**

Let k be a global field, and let Ω_k be the set of all places of k. For a torus T, we denote by $\hat{T}$ its character group. Let k^s be a separable closure of k. For a finite separable extension K of k, we let $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/K)$, and for a K-torus T, let $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(T)$ be the induced torus where $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}$ stands for the Weil restriction from K to k. For any discrete Γ_K module M, set $\mathrm{I}_{K/k}(M) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_K}^{\Gamma_k}(M)$. Note that $\mathrm{I}_{K/k}(\hat{T})$ is the character group of the k-torus $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(T)$. Let $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{K/k}$ be the character group of the norm one torus $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$.

Let L_0, L_1,...,L_m be finite separable extensions of k for $i = 1, ..., m$. For an étale algebra M over k and a place v of k, we denote by M^v the algebra $M \otimes_k k_v$.

Let E_i be the étale algebra $L_0 \otimes_k L_i$ where we regard L_0, L_i as subfields of k^s, for $i = 1, ..., m$. Let $E = \prod_{i=1}^{m} E_i$ and $L = \prod_{i=1}^{m} L_i$. We can view E as an L_0–algebra, and let $N_{E/L_0} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} N_{E_i/L_0}$ be the norm map.

Let T_0 be the torus defined in (0.3). Let us define a torus T_1 by the following exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \xrightarrow{N_{E/L_0}} \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow 1 \; . \tag{1.1}$$

The torus T_1 plays an important role in the computation of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$.

2. **The relation between $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ and $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$**

In this section, we clarify the relation between $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ and $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$. At the end of this section, we show that the Hasse principle for the multinorm equation holds when L_0 is cyclic and $m = 1$ by computing the group $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any finite separable field extension L_0, we have $Ш^1(k, T_0) \simeq Ш^2(k, T_1)$.*

Proof. Let us define the map

$$\pi : \mathrm{R}_{E/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \prod_{i=0}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$$

by setting $\pi(x) = (N_{E/L_0}(x)^{-1}, N_{E_1/L_1}(x_1), ..., N_{E_m/L_m}(x_m))$, where $x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^{m} E_i$. The map π sends $\mathrm{R}_{E/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)(k^s)$ onto $T_0(k^s)$. Combining the exact sequences (0.3) and (1.1), we get the following exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{E/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\pi} T_0 \longrightarrow 1 \ . \tag{2.1}$$

The above sequence induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, T_0) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, T_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathrm{R}_{E/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \to ... \tag{2.2}$$

By the Brauer-Hasse-Noether Theorem, we have $\text{Ш}^2(k, \mathrm{R}_{E/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Ш}^1(k, T_0) \simeq \text{Ш}^2(k, T_1).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Next we compute the group $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k})$ for a cyclic extension L_0.

Lemma 2.2. *Let L_0 be a cyclic extension of degree q. Then we have $H^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{R}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where the map from $\mathrm{R}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ to $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ sends x to $x/\sigma(x)$. We take its dual sequence

$$0 \to \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k} \to \mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \tag{2.4}$$

This exact sequence induces:

$$\mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})) = 0 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

Since L_0 is a field of degree q, we have $\mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})^{\Gamma} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and the map deg is the multiplication by q. Therefore we have $H^1(k, \hat{S}_{L_0/k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$.

The exact sequence (2.4) induces

$$0 = \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})) \to .... \tag{2.6}$$

By Poitou-Tate duality, $\text{Ш}^2(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})) \simeq \text{Ш}^1(k, \mathrm{R}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m))^*$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{R}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{G}_m)) = 0$, so we have $\text{Ш}^2(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_0/k}(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$, and hence $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) = 0$.

Using the above lemmas, we can generalize a result of Hürlimann ([Hu84] Prop. 3.3).

Proposition 2.3. *Let L_0 be a cyclic extension of k and L_1 be a finite separable extension of k. Let $a \in k^{\times}$. Then the local-global principle holds for the multinorm equation $N_{L_0/k}(x_0)N_{L_1/k}(x_1) = a$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have $Ш^1(k,T_0) \simeq Ш^2(k,T_1)$. Combining with Poitou-Tate duality which says $Ш^2(k,T_1) \simeq Ш^1(k,\hat{T}_1)^*$, it suffices to prove that $Ш^1(k,\hat{T}_1)=0$.

Since L_0 is a cyclic extension, the algebra $E_1 = L_0 \otimes_k L_1$ is isomorphic to a product of copies of M_1, where M_1 is some cyclic field extension of L_1. Let $q=[L_0:k]$ and $s=[M_1:L_1]$. Then s divides q. Consider the dual sequence of (1.1) when $m=1$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{I}_{L_1/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_1/L_1}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \hat{T}_1 \longrightarrow 0\ , \tag{2.7}$$

and the sequence induced by (2.7)

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k,\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \xrightarrow{\iota^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k,\mathrm{I}_{L_1/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_1/L_1})) \xrightarrow{\rho^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k,\hat{T}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k,\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}). \tag{2.8}$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have $Ш^2(k,\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k})=0$. Hence it is enough to show that ι^1 is surjective. Let $n=q/s$ and consider the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}_{E_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq (\mathrm{R}_{M_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m))^n \to (\mathrm{R}_{M_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m))^n$$

which sends $(b_1,...,b_n)$ to $(b_1,...,b_{n-1},b_1...b_{n-1}b_n)$. It induces an isomorphism from $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ to $(\mathrm{R}_{M_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m))^{n-1} \times \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{M_1/L_1}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Hence we have $\mathrm{H}^1(k,\mathrm{I}_{L_1/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_1/L_1})) = \mathrm{H}^1(L_1,\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_1/L_1}) = \mathrm{H}^1(L_1,\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{M_1/L_1}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$. The map ι_1 is the natural projection from $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore ι^1 is surjective. The proposition then follows.

3. The group $Ш^2(k,\hat{T}_0)$

The aim of this section is to use the ramification pattern of L_0 to describe the group $Ш^2(k,\hat{T}_0)$. Such a description will be used later to define the Brauer-Manin obstruction for multinorm equations. Throughout this section, L_0 is assumed to be a cyclic extension. We start with the case where L_0 is a cyclic extension of prime degree to illustrate the idea.

3.1. The prime degree case

Suppose that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree p, where p is a prime. Since L_0 is a cyclic extension of prime degree, the algebra E_i is either a cyclic extension of L_i of degree p or isomorphic to a product of p copies of L_i. Without loss of generality, we assume that E_i is a field extension for $i=1,...,m_1$ and $E_i \simeq \prod L_i$ for $i=m_1+1,...,m$.

Let $\mathcal{I}=\{1,...,m\}$ and $\mathcal{I}'=\{1,...,m_1\}$. For $i\in\mathcal{I}$, let Σ_i be the set of all places v of k where E_i^v is isomorphic to a product of copies of L_i^v. Note that $\Sigma_i=\Omega_k$ if $i\in\mathcal{I}\setminus\mathcal{I}'$.

Given $a=(a_1,...,a_{m_1})\in(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we define $I_n(a)$ to be the set $\{i\in\mathcal{I}' |\ a_i=n\}$. Let $I(a)=(I_0(a),...I_{p-1}(a))$. Note that $I_0(a),...,I_{p-1}(a)$ form a partition of $\mathcal{I}'$. It is easy to see that the map I gives a bijection between $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$ and the set

$$\{(I_0,...I_{p-1})|\ I_0,...I_{p-1} \text{ form a partition of } \mathcal{I}'.\}.$$

Given a subset $J \subsetneq \mathcal{I}'$, we let

$$W(J) = \underset{i \in \mathcal{I}' \setminus J}{\cap} \Sigma_i = \underset{i \notin J}{\cap} \Sigma_i.$$

We set $W(\mathcal{I}') = \Omega_k$.

Let S be the set

$$S = \{(a_1, ...a_{m_1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1} | \underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n(a)) = \Omega_k\}.$$

Let D be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$ generated by $(1, ..., 1)$. Note that $D \subseteq S$. We define an equivalence relation on S by

$$a \sim b \text{ if } a - b \in D.$$

We denote by $\text{Ш}(L_0, E)$ the set of equivalence classes of S.

As mentioned above, the map I gives a bijection between $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$ and the set $\{(I_0, ...I_{p-1}) |\ I_0, ...I_{p-1} \text{ form a partition of } \mathcal{I}'\}$. Hence, the componentwise addition on $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$ gives a group law on the set of partitions as follows:

$$(I_0, ..., I_{p-1}) + (I'_0, ..., I'_{p-1}) = (J_0, ..., J_{p-1}),$$

where $J_i = \bigcup_{\substack{(l,n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 \\ l+n=i}} (I_l \cap I'_n)$. Let P be the image $I(S)$. Then P consists of partitions $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1})$ such that $\underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n) = \Omega_k$. The equivalence relation on S defines an equivalence relation on P by $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1}) \sim (J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ if there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $I_i = J_{i+n}$ for all i.

Lemma 3.1. *The set* $\text{Ш}(L_0, E)$ *is a subgroup of* $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}/D$.

Proof. As D is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$, it is enough to prove that P and hence S is a group. Let $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1})$ and $(I'_0, ..., I'_{p-1})$ be elements in P. Let $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ be their sum. For all $v \in \Omega_k$, there exist n, $n' \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v \in W(I_n)$ and $v \in W(I'_{n'})$. We claim that $v \in W(J_{n+n'})$. If $J_{n+n'} = \mathcal{I}'$, then $v \in W(J_{n+n'})$ by definition. Suppose that $J_{n+n'} \neq \mathcal{I}'$. Then for each $i \notin J_{n+n'}$, we have $i \notin I_n \cap I'_{n'}$. By the definition of $W(I_n)$ and $W(I'_{n'})$, for all $i \notin I_n \cap I'_{n'}$, we have that $v \in \Sigma_i$. Hence $v \in W(J_{n+n'})$. This proves that $\underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(J_n) = \Omega_k$. Therefore P is a group.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that* L_0 *is a cyclic extension of prime degree* p. *Then* $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{T}_0) \simeq \text{Ш}(L_0, E)$.

Proof. By Poitou-Tate duality we have $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \simeq \text{Ш}^2(k, T_1)^*$ and $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{T}_0) \simeq \text{Ш}^1(k, T_0)^*$. By Lemma 2.1, to prove Proposition 3.2, it is equivalent to prove that $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \simeq \text{Ш}(L_0, E)$.

Consider the dual sequence of (1.1):

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \hat{T}_1 \longrightarrow 0\ , \tag{3.1}$$

and the exact sequence induced by (3.1),

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \xrightarrow{\iota^1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \xrightarrow{\rho^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}). \tag{3.2}$$

To compute $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$, we first compute $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k})$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$ in the above sequence. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, for $i = 1, ..., m_1$, E_i is a cyclic field extension of L_i so we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $E_i \simeq \prod L_i$ for $i = m_1 + 1, ..., m$, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) = \mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}) = 0$ for $i = m_1 + 1, ..., m$.

From now on, we identify $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k})$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\overset{m_1}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$. The map $\iota^1 : \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \to \overset{m_1}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$ maps $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ diagonally into $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}$. Therefore, we can rewrite (3.2) as

$$0 \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}/\langle(1, ..., 1)\rangle \xrightarrow{\rho^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}).... \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, we have $\text{Ш}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ is in the image of ρ^1.

For $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1} = \overset{m_1}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$, let $[a]$ be its image in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m_1}/D$. We claim that $\rho^1([a]) \in \text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ if and only if $a \in S$.

We denote by a^v the image of a in $\overset{m_1}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{I}_{L_i^v/k_v}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i^v/L_i^v}))$, and by D_v the image of D in this sum.

By the exact sequence (3.3), we have $\rho^1([a]) \in \text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ if and only if the localization a^v belongs the localization D_v for all places v. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $a \in S$ if and only if $a^v \in D_v$.

Suppose that $a \in S$. For an arbitrary place v, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v \in W(I_n(a))$, which means that $E_i^v \simeq \prod L_i^v$ for all $i \notin I_n(a)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{I}_{L_i^v/k_v}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i^v/L_i^v})) = 0$ for all $i \notin I_n(a)$, we have $a^v = (n, ...n)_v$.

Suppose that a^v belongs the localization D_v for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $a \notin S$. By the definition of S, we have $a \notin D$ and there is a place $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n(a))$. Since $a^v \in D_v$, there is $n' \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^v = (n', ..., n')_v$. As $v \notin W(I_{n'}(a))$, there is $i \notin I_{n'}(a)$ such that $v \notin \Sigma_i$ and hence the localization a_i^v of i-th coordinate of a is not equal to the localization of i-th coordinate of $(n', ..., n')$, which is a contradiction. Our claim then follows. Therefore, we have $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \simeq \text{Ш}(L_0, E)$.

3.2. **The prime power degree case**

Suppose that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree p^e, where p is a prime. Since L_0 is cyclic, the algebra E_i is isomorphic to a product of cyclic field extension M_i of L_i, and the degree of M_i is p^{d_i} for some $0 \leq d_i \leq e$. In the prime degree case, namely $e = 1$, the algebra E_i is either isomorphic to a product of copies of L_i or is a cyclic extension of L_i of degree p. However, for $e \geq 2$ things become more complicated.

We start with some notation and definitions. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$ we let M_i be a cyclic extension of L_i such that E_i is isomorphic to a product of copies of M_i. Let $p^{d_i} = [M_i : L_i]$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.

Let s and t be positive integers. For $s \geq t$, we let $\pi_{s,t}$ be the canonical projection from $\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p^t\mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}/p^t\mathbb{Z}$, we say that x *dominates* y if $s \geq t$ and $\pi_{s,t}(x) = y$ and denote it by $x \succeq y$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}/p^t\mathbb{Z}$, the *splitting distance* of x and y is the maximum nonnegative integer $d \leq \min\{s,t\}$ such that $\pi_{s,d}(x) = \pi_{t,d}(y)$ and we denote it by $\delta(x,y)$. Clearly, we have $\delta(x,y) = \min\{s,t\}$ if and only if $x \succeq y$ or $y \succeq x$.

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{1, ..., m\}$. For $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$, let $I_n(a)$ be the set $\{i \in \mathcal{I} |\ n \succeq a_i\}$ and let $I(a) = (I_0(a), ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1}(a))$. Note that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}} I_n(a) = \mathcal{I}$ but $I(a)$ is not a partition of $\mathcal{I}$. For example, if $d_i = 0$, then $i \in I_n(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$. However if $e = 1$, i.e. L_0 is of prime degree, then $I(a) \cap \mathcal{I}'$ is the partition of $\mathcal{I}'$ defined in Section 3.1, where $\mathcal{I}' = \{i |\ d_i = 1\}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1}) |\ I_n \text{ is a subset of } \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } \bigcup_{0 \leq n \leq p^{d_1}-1} I_n = \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Let $\underline{d} = (d_1, ..., d_m)$. Now we characterize the image of the map

$$I : \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{E}.$$

An element $(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1}) \in \mathcal{E}$ is said to be *coherent* with respect to $\underline{d}$ if for all $n_1,\ n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ we have:

(1) If $i \in I_{n_1} \cap I_{n_2}$, then $\pi_{d_1,d_i}(n_1) = \pi_{d_1,d_i}(n_2)$.
(2) If $i \in I_{n_1}$ and $\pi_{d_1,d_i}(n_1) = \pi_{d_1,d_i}(n_2)$, then $i \in I_{n_2}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}^c$ be the subset of all coherent (relative to $\underline{d}$) elements in $\mathcal{E}$. For $a \in \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$, it is clear that $I(a)$ is a coherent element. Conversely for a coherent element $(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1}) \in \mathcal{E}^c$, we set $a_i = \pi_{d_1,d_i}(n)$ for $i \in I_n$. Note that the condition (1) of the definition of a coherent element ensures that the a_i's are well-defined. Hence $a = (a_1, ..., a_m)$ is a well-defined element in $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$. The condition (2) ensures that the a defined above satisfies $I(a) = (I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1})$. This shows that I is a bijection between $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{E}^c$.

For a place $v \in \Omega_k$, we denote by $w|v$ a place w of L_i above v. For a place $v \in \Omega_k$, let L_i^w denote the field extension of k_v such that $L_i^v = L_i \otimes k_v = \prod_{w|v} L_i^w$.

Given a positive integer $0 \leq d \leq e$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, let Σ_i^d be the set of all places $v \in \Omega_k$ such that at each place w of L_i above v, $L_i^w \otimes L_0$ is isomorphic to a product of isomorphic fields of degree at most p^d over L_i^w. Let $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_i^0$, which is the set of all places where E_i^v is isomorphic to a product of copies of L_i^v.

Let $(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1}) \in \mathcal{E}^c$. For $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in I$, let $\delta(n_1, i) = \delta(n_1, \pi_{d_1,d_i}(n_2))$, where n_2 is an element in $\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ such that $i \in I_{n_2}$. Note that since $(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1})$ is coherent (relative to $\underline{d}$), $\delta(n_1, i)$ is independent of the choice of n_2 and hence well-defined. Note that if we let $a = (a_1, ..., a_m)$ be the element in $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ constructed from $(I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1})$, then $\delta(n_1, i)$ is just $\delta(n_1, a_i)$. For $I_n \underset{\neq}{\subsetneq} \mathcal{I}$, define

$$W(I_n) = \underset{i \notin I_n}{\cap} \Sigma_i^{\delta(n,i)}. \tag{3.4}$$

For $I_n = \mathcal{I}$, we set $W(I_n) = \Omega_k$.

Set

$$S = \{(a_1, ..., a_m) \in \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z} | \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}} W(I_n(a)) = \Omega_k\}.$$

Let D be the subgroup of $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the diagonal element $(1, ..., 1)$. Then D is contained in S. We define an equivalence relation on S by $a \sim b$ if and only if $a - b \in D$ and denote by $Ш(L_0, E)$ the set of equivalence classes of S. The set $Ш(L_0, E)$ is a subgroup of $(\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z})/D$; this can be checked in a way similar to Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *The groups* $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ *and* $Ш(L_0, E)$ *are isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and Poitou-Tate duality, it is equivalent to prove that $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \simeq Ш(L_0, E)$.

Consider the dual sequence of (1.1):

$$0 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \hat{T}_1 \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3.5}$$

and the exact sequence induced by (3.5)

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \xrightarrow{\iota^1} \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \xrightarrow{\rho^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) . \tag{3.6}$$

Since $Ш^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) = 0$, the group $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ is in the image of ρ^1.

Let $n_i = p^{e-d_i}$. As $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq (\mathrm{R}_{M_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m))^{n_i-1} \times \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{M_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{M_i/L_i}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

In the following we identify $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k})$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Under this identification, the map

$$\iota^1 : \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \to \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i}))$$

sends $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ to $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z})$ by the natural projections. Therefore we can rephrase the exact sequence (3.6) as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\iota^1} \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\rho^1} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1), \tag{3.7}$$

where ι^1 the natural projection from $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ for each i. Note that the image of ι^1 is the subgroup D.

Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $[a]$ be its image in $(\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z})/D$. We claim that $\rho^1([a]) \in Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ if and only if $a \in S$.

We denote by a^v the image of a in $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{I}_{L_i^v/k_v}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i^v/L_i^v}))$, and by D_v the image of D in this sum.

By the exact sequence (3.7), $\rho^1([a]) \in Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ if and only if the localization a^v belongs the localization D_v for all places v. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $a \in S$ if and only if $a^v \in D_v$.

Suppose that $a \in S$. For an arbitrary place v, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v \in W(I_n(a))$. If $I_n(a) = \mathcal{I}$, then clearly $a \in D \subseteq S$. Suppose that $I_n(a) \neq \mathcal{I}$. This implies that for each $i \notin I_n(a)$ and each place w of L_i above v, $L_i^w \otimes L_0$ is isomorphic to a product of fields of degree at most $\delta(n, i)$. Let $\delta_i = \delta(n, i) = \delta(n, a_i)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{I}_{L_i^v/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i^v/L_i^v})) \simeq \underset{w|v}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^1(L_i^w, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_i^w \otimes L_0/L_i^w}) \simeq \underset{w|v}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_{i,w}}\mathbb{Z},$$

where $d_{i,w} \leq \delta_i$, and the localization map from $\mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})$ to $\mathrm{H}^1(L_i^v, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i^v/L_i^v})$ is the canonical projection $\pi_{d_i, d_{i,w}}$ from $\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ to each component $\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_{i,w}}\mathbb{Z}$. Since for all $i \notin I_n(a)$, $d_{i,w} \leq \delta_i$ and $\pi_{d_i,\delta_i}(a_i) = \pi_{d_1,\delta_i}(n)$, we have $a^v = (n, ..., n)_v$.

Suppose conversely that $a^v \in D_v$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $a \notin S$. By the definition of S, $a \notin D$ and there is a place $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n(a))$. Since $a^v \in D_v$, there is $n' \in \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^v = (\iota^1(n'))_v$. Let $n = \pi_{e,d_1}(n')$. As $v \notin W(I_n(a))$, there is $i \notin I_n(a)$ and a place w of L_i above v such that $L_i^w \otimes L_0$ is isomorphic to fields of degree $d_{i,w} > \delta_i$. Then by the definition of $\delta_i = \delta(n, a_i)$, $\pi_{d_i, d_{i,w}}(a_i) \neq \pi_{d_1, d_{i,w}}(n)$. Hence the localization a_i^v of the i-th coordinate of a is not equal to the localization of the i-th coordinate of $(n, ..., n)$, which is a contradiction. Our claim then follows. Therefore, we have $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \simeq Ш(L_0, E)$.

3.3. **The general case**

In this section, we consider the case where the field L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree $q = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{e_j}$, where the p_j's are distinct primes. As we will see, the group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ is in fact isomorphic to the product of $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_{0,j})$'s where the $\hat{T}_{0,j}$'s are defined by the prime power degree subfields of L_0.

We first fix some notation. Let $q_j = p_j^{e_j}$ and $L_{0,j}$ be the subfield of L_0 of degree q_j. Let $E_{j,i}$ be the étale algebra $L_{0,j} \otimes_k L_i$ and $F_j = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} E_{j,i}$. Since L_0 is a cyclic extension, there is some cyclic extension M_i of L_i such that $E_i = L_0 \otimes L_i$ is isomorphic to the product of n_i copies of M_i and $q = n_i[M_i : L_i]$. Let $d_i = [M_i : L_i]$. Clearly $E_{j,i}$ is a field if and only if $q_j | d_i$. Define $T_{0,j}$ as in (0.3) by replacing L_0 by $L_{0,j}$. Namely,

$$1 \longrightarrow T_{0,j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{L_{0,j}/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{N_{L_{0,j}/k} \cdot \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_i/k}} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1 \ . \tag{3.8}$$

Let $T_{1,j}$'s be the tori as defined in (1.1). Namely

$$1 \longrightarrow T_{1,j} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_{j,i}/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \xrightarrow{N_{F_j/L_{0,j}}} \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_{0,j}/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow 1 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\epsilon_j : \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_{0,j}/k} \to \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_0/k}$ be the natural inclusion. Then ϵ_j induces an inclusion

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_{j,i}/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \to \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)),$$

which is still denoted by ϵ_j. Since the norm map $N_{F_j/L_{0,j}}$ and N_{E/L_0} are both induced from the norm map $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_i/k}$, we have $N_{E/L_0} \circ \epsilon_j = \epsilon_j \circ N_{F_j/L_{0,j}}$. Therefore the inclusion ϵ_j maps $T_{1,j}$ to T_1 and it induces a map $\hat{\epsilon}_j^1 : H^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to H^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j})$. Let $\hat{\epsilon}^1 : Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \bigoplus\limits_j Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j})$ be the map defined as $\hat{\epsilon}^1(a) = (\hat{\epsilon}_1^1(a), ..., \hat{\epsilon}_s^1(a))$. In fact, the map $\hat{\epsilon}^1$ is an isomorphism between the Shafarevich groups.

Proposition 3.4. *The map* $\hat{\epsilon}^1 : Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j})$ *is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we have* $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) \simeq \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_{0,j})$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram which comes from cohomology exact sequences associated to the dual sequences of (1.1) and (3.9):
(3.10)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \arrow[r, "\iota^1"] \arrow[d, "\oplus\hat{\epsilon}_j^1"'] & \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \arrow[r, "\rho^1"] \arrow[d, "\oplus\hat{\epsilon}_j^1"'] & \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\oplus\hat{\epsilon}_j^1"'] & ... \\
\bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_{0,j}/k}) \arrow[r, "\iota^1"] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{j,i}/L_i})) \arrow[r, "\rho^1"] & \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_{j,1}) \arrow[r] & ...
\end{tikzcd}$$

As $Ш^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) = 0$ (resp. $Ш^2(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_{0,j}/k}) = 0$) for the cyclic extension L_0 (resp. $L_{0,j}$), we know that $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ (resp. $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j})$) is in the image of ρ^1.

For $1 \leq j \leq s$, let $M_{j,i}$ be a cyclic extension of L_i such that $E_{j,i}$ is isomorphic to a product of copies of $M_{j,i}$. Let $d_{j,i}$ be the degree of $M_{j,i}$ over L_i. Note that $d_{j,i}$'s are powers of p_j. As $E_{j,i}$ is a subalgebra of E_i, we have that $M_{j,i}$ is isomorphic to a subfield of M_i and hence $d_{j,i}|d_i$. Moreover $d_i/d_{j,i}$ are prime to $d_{j,i}$ since $L_{0,j}$ is the maximal subfield of L_0 of p_j-power degree over k. Therefore, we have $d_i = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{s} d_{j,i}$.

As $\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{R}_{M_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m))^{n_i-1} \times \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{M_i/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(L_i, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{M_i/L_i}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{j,i}/L_i})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/d_{j,i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $d_i = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{s} d_{j,i}$ we have $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{j,i}/L_i}))$.

On the other hand, the morphism

$$\hat{\epsilon}^1_j : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{j,i}/L_i})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/d_{j,i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

is the canonical projection $\mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/d_{j,i}\mathbb{Z}$ on each component.

Since for each i, we have $d_i = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{s} d_{j,i}$ with $d_{j,i}$'s coprime with each other, the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \hat{\epsilon}^1_j : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{j,i}/L_i}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Similarly,

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \hat{\epsilon}^1_j : \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_0/k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{L_{0,j}/k}) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}/q_i\mathbb{Z}$$

is also an isomorphism.

Since the localization map commutes with $\bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} \hat{\epsilon}^1_j$, by diagram chasing we see that $\hat{\epsilon}^1 : Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{s} Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j})$ is an isomorphism.

Inspired by Proposition 3.4, we define $Ш(L_0, E)$ as

$$Ш(L_0, E) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} Ш(L_{0,j}, F_j).$$

4. **The Brauer-Manin map**

Assume in this section that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree q. Fix a generator σ of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_0/k)$. Given $c \in k^\times$, define the cyclic algebra (L_0, c) as the k-algebra

$$(L_0, c) = \{\delta, \zeta |\ \zeta^q = c,\ \delta\zeta = \zeta\sigma(\delta) \text{ for all } \delta \in L_0\}.$$

(See for instance [GS] 2.5.2.)

Let $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}(L_0/k) \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ be the group isomorphism which sends σ to 1 and let $\tilde{\chi} : \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k) \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ be the lifting of χ. Let δ be the coboundary map $\mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathbb{Z})$ coming from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times q} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Then another equivalent way to define (L_0, c) is to define it as the cup product $\delta(\tilde{\chi}) \cup c \in \mathrm{H}^2(k, k_s^\times) = \mathrm{Br}(k)$ ([GS] Prop. 4.7.3). Let $v \in \Omega_k$ and $(k_v)^s$ be a separable closure of k_v. Fix an embedding $k^s \to (k_v)^s$. Then we get a group homomorphism $i_v : \mathrm{Gal}((k_v)^s/k_v) \to \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$. Let $\tilde{\chi}|_{k_v} = \tilde{\chi} \circ i_v$. For $c \in k_v^\times$, we define $(L_0^v, c) = \delta(\tilde{\chi}|_{k_v}) \cup c \in \mathrm{Br}(k_v)$. In the following, we use invariants of the cyclic algebras split by L_0 and the Shafarevich groups $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ calculated in the previous section to define the Brauer-Manin map α. We prove that $\alpha = 0$ if and only if the Hasse principle for multinorm equations hold.

The first observation is the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that E_i is isomorphic to a product of copies of field M_i and $[M_i : L_i] = d_i$. Then for any $x_i \in L_i^\times$, the cyclic algebra $(L_0, N_{L_i/k}(x_i))$ is of order $r|d_i$. In particular, if $d_i = 1$, then for any $x_i \in k^\times$, the cyclic algebra $(L_0, N_{L_i/k}(x_i))$ splits.*

Proof. Given $x_i \in L_i^\times$, consider the cyclic algebra $(M_i, x_i) = \delta(\tilde{\chi}|_{L_i}) \cup (x_i)$, where $\tilde{\chi}|_{L_i}$ is the restriction of $\tilde{\chi}$ to $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/L_i)$. Let r' be the order of (M_i, x_i) in $\mathrm{Br}(L_i)$. Since M_i is of degree d_i over L_i, we have $r'|d_i$.

Consider the corestriction map from $\mathrm{Br}(L_i)$ to $\mathrm{Br}(k)$. By the projection formula ([GS] Prop. 3.4.10), the corestriction of (M_i, x_i) is $(L_0, N_{L_i/k}(x_i))$. Since $r|r'$ and $r'|d_i$, we have $r|d_i$.

Let $c \in k^\times$ and X_c be the variety defined by the equation

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(t_i) = c.$$

Suppose that X_c has a point at each place $v \in \Omega_k$. Let $x = (x_i^v) \in \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$. In other words for each $v \in \Omega_k$, we have $x^v = (x_0^v, ..., x_m^v) \in \prod_{i=0}^{m} L_i^v$ such that $\prod_{i=0}^{m} N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v) = c$. Set $y_i^v = N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v)$. For a finite place v, let us consider the invariant map $\mathrm{inv} : \mathrm{Br}(k_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and set $b_i^v = \mathrm{inv}((L_0^v, y_i^v)) \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. For an infinite place v, let us consider ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(k_v) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inv}} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ and set $b_i^v = \mathrm{inv}((L_0^v, y_i^v)) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Note that if q is odd , then we have $(L_0^v, y_i^v) = 0$ in ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$. To keep the notation simple, we identify b_i^v and (L_0^v, y_i^v) via the isomorphism inv in the following. In fact, we can choose the local points (x_i^v) so that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$ and b_i^v satisfies $\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = 0$

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Then there exists a local point $x = (x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$ such that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$.*

Proof. For each $v \in \Omega_k$ such that $(L_0, c)_v = 0$, there is $x_0^v \in L_0^v$ such that $(x_0^v, 1, ..., 1)$ is a k_v-point of X_c and $b_i^v = (L_0^v, 1) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Since $(L_0, c)_v = 0$ for almost all places $v \in \Omega_k$, for such a local point we have $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v and $1 \le i \le m$.

Lemma 4.3. *The b_i^v defined in Lemma 4.2 satisfies $\sum_{v\in\Omega_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = 0$.*

Proof. To see this, we note that $\prod_{i=0}^{m} y_i^v = c$, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (L_0^v, y_i^v) = (L_0^v, c/y_0^v) = (L_0, c)_v.$$

Since $c \in k^\times$, by the Brauer-Hasse-Noether Theorem, we have $\sum_{v\in\Omega_k} (L_0, c)_v = 0$. Hence we have $\sum_{v\in\Omega_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = 0$. The lemma then follows.

Now suppose that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree $q = p^e$, where p is a prime. Let $x = (x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$ such that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$. Let M_i be a cyclic extension of L_i such that the algebra E_i is isomorphic to a product of copies of M_i, and the degree of M_i is p^{d_i} for some $0 \le d_i \le e$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(d_1, ..., d_m)$ is a decreasing sequence. Define the map

$$\alpha_x : Ш(L_0, E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

by $\alpha_x(a_1, ..., a_m) = \sum_{v\in\Omega_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i^v$, where $(a_1, ..., a_m) \in S \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$. Note that by Lemma 4.1, we have $b_i^v \in \frac{1}{p^{d_i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $a_i b_i^v$ is well-defined. Moreover, by Lemma 4.3, the map α_x is invariant over equivalence classes. Hence, the map α_x is well-defined.

In the following, we show that α_x is actually independent of the choice of the local points (x_i^v).

Proposition 4.4. *The map $\alpha_x : Ш(L_0, E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is independent of the choice of the local point x.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{1, ..., m\}$. Let $a \in S$ and $I(a) = (I_0, ..., I_{p^{d_1}-1})$. (For the notation, see section 3.2.) If $a \in D$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have $\alpha_x(a) = 0$ which is independent of x. In the following, we assume that $a \notin D$.

By the definition of S, we have $W(I_0) \cup ... \cup W(I_{p^{d_1}-1}) = \Omega_k$. Given a place $v \in \Omega_k$, there is some $n(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v \in W(I_{n(v)})$. Let δ_i be the splitting distance $\delta(n(v), a_i)$ and let $L_i^v = \prod_{w|v} L_i^w$, where L_i^w are field extensions of k_v. Then for any $i \notin I_{n(v)}$, E_i^v is isomorphic to a products of field extensions of L_i^w of degree at most p^{δ_i}. By Lemma 4.1, we have $b_i^v \in \frac{1}{p^{\delta_i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. By the definition of δ_i, we have $\pi_{d_i,\delta_i}(a_i) = \pi_{d_1,\delta_i}(n(v))$. Hence for $i \notin I_{n(v)}$, we have

$$a_i b_i^v = \pi_{d_i,\delta_i}(a_i) b_i^v = \pi_{d_1,\delta_i}(n(v)) b_i^v = n(v) b_i^v.$$

As a consequence, for all $v \in \Omega_k$, we have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} a_i b_i^v = n(v) \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v = n(v)(L_0, c)_v,$$

which is again independent of the x_i^v's. Therefore, the map α is independent of the choice of local points, and the proposition is proved.

Since $\alpha_x : Ш(L_0, E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is independent of the choice of $x = (x_i^v)$, we henceforth use the notation $\alpha : Ш(L_0, E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ for this map and we call it the *Brauer-Manin map* for X_c.

Now suppose that L_0 is a cyclic extension of arbitrary degree $q = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{e_j}$, where the p_j's are distinct primes. Let $q_j = p_j^{e_j}$. We keep the notation introduced in Section 3.3. For $c \in k^\times$, we let $X_{c,j}$ be the $T_{0,j}$-torsor defined by the multinorm equation

$$N_{L_{0,j}/k}(x_{0,j}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c.$$

Since $x = (x_i^v)$ is a k_v-point of X_c, $(N_{L_0^v/L_{0,j}^v}(x_0^v), x_1^v, ..., x_m^v)$ is a k_v-point of $X_{c,j}$. Hence we can define the Brauer-Manin map for $X_{c,j}$. Let α_j be the Brauer-Manin map of $X_{c,j}$. Recall that by Theorem 3.3 we have $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_{0,j}) \simeq Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j}) \simeq Ш(L_{0,j}, F_j)$. The isomorphism

$$\hat{\epsilon}^1 : Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,j}) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} Ш(L_{0,j}, F_j)$$

composed with $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_j$ henceforth gives the following map:

$$\alpha : Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since α_j's are independent of the choice of local points $x = (x_i^v)$, the map α is also independent of the choice of local points. We call α the *Brauer-Manin map* for X_c.

The main theorem is the following:

Theorem 4.5. *The T_0-principal homogeneous space X_c has a k-point if and only if X_c has a k_v-point at each place $v \in \Omega_k$ and α is the zero map.*

The proof of the main theorem will occupy the next section. Before we go into the next section, we prove some lemmas which will be used later.

Lemma 4.6. *For each $v \in \Omega_k$, let $(x_0^v, ..., x_m^v)$ be a k_v-point of X_c and set $b_i^v = (L_0, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v))$. Let $\tilde{x}_i^v \in L_i^v$ and $\tilde{b}_i^v = (L_0, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(\tilde{x}_i^v))$ for $i = 1, ..., m$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{b}_i^v$, then there exists $\tilde{x}_0^v \in L_0^v$ such that $(\tilde{x}_0^v, ..., \tilde{x}_m^v)$ is also a k_v-point of X_c.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{y}_i^v = N_{L_i^v/k_v}(\tilde{x}_i^v)$ and $y_i^v = N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v)$. Since $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} b_i^v = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{b}_i^v$, there is some $z \in L_0^v$ such that $(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} y_i^v)(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{y}_i^v)^{-1} = N_{L_0^v/k_v}(z)$. Therefore $(x_0^v z, \tilde{x}_1^v, ..., \tilde{x}_m^v)$ is a k_v-point of X_c.

Lemma 4.7. *Let x_i^v and (b_i^v) be as above. Suppose that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $\sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i = 1, ..., m$. Then X_c has a k-point.*

Proof. By the Brauer-Hasse-Noether Theorem, for each i there exists $\tilde{b}_i \in \mathrm{H}^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$ such that $(\tilde{b}_i)_v = b_i^v$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Let A_i be a central simple algebra such that the Brauer equivalence class $[A_i] = \tilde{b}_i$. Since (L_0^v, y_i^v) splits over L_0^v for all v, the algebra A_i also splits over L_0. Hence there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in k$ such that A_i is Brauer equivalent to $(L_0, \tilde{y}_i)$ (see [GS] Cor. 4.7.6). Since $(L_0, \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{y}_i)_v = (L_0^v, \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} y_i^v) = (L_0, c)_v$, the Brauer-Hasse-Noether Theorem implies that $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{y}_i = cN_{L_0/k}(w)$ for some $w \in L_0^\times$. Moreover, the element $\tilde{y}_i$ belongs to the norm group $N_{L_0/k}(L_0^\times)N_{L_i/k}(L_i^\times)$. To see this, we note that

$$(L_0, \tilde{y}_i)_v = (L_0, y_i^v) = (L_0, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v)).$$

Hence $y_i \in N_{L_0/k}(J_0)N_{L_i/k}(J_i)$, where J_i is the idèle group of L_i, for $i = 1, ..., m$. By Proposition 2.3, we have $\tilde{y}_i = N_{L_0/k}(w_i)N_{L_i/k}(z_i)$ for some $w_i \in L_0^\times$ and $z_i \in L_i^\times$. Therefore $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{y}_i = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_0/k}(w_i)N_{L_i/k}(z_i) = cN_{L_0/k}(w)$ and $(w^{-1}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} w_i, z_1, ..., z_m)$ is a k-point of X_c.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree p^e, where p is a prime and $e \geq 1$. Let $v \in \Omega_k$ and $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ such that E_i^v is not isomorphic to a product of copies of L_i^v. Then given $b \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, there is some $x \in L_v^i$ such that* $\mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x)) = b$.

Proof. Suppose that $L_0^v \simeq \prod M_{0,j}$ (resp. $L_i^v \simeq M_{i,l}$) over k_v. Let $[M_{0,j} : k_v] = p^f$. As $E_i^v \not\simeq \prod L_i^v$, we have $f \geq 1$. Then it is enough to prove that $\frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathrm{inv}(M_{0,j}, N_{M_{i,l}/k_v}(M_{i,l}^\times))$ for some l. Hence we can reduce to the case where L_0^v is a field extension of k_v of degree p^e with $e \geq 1$ and L_i^v is a field.

Denote by $\mathrm{Br}(L_0^v/k_v)$ the subgroup of the Brauer group of k_v split by L_0^v. This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z} \simeq k_v^\times/N(L_0^v)^\times$.

Let $L_0 \otimes_k L_i^v$ be a product of copies of the fields M_i over L_i^v. Let the degree of M_i/L_i^v be p^{d_i}. By the assumption, $d_i > 0$.

The corestriction map $\mathrm{Br}(L_i^v)$ to $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$ is an injection and restricts to an injection $\mathrm{Br}(M_i/L_i^v)$ into $\mathrm{Br}(L_0^v/k_v)$, the image being the unique subgroup of order p^{d_i} in the cyclic group of order p^e. By projection formula ([GS] Prop. 3.4.10), the image consists of cyclic algebras of the type $(L_0^v, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(z))$ with z an element of L_i^v. Hence $\frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \frac{1}{p^{d_i}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(L_i^v)^\times)$.

5. **The proof of Theorem 4.5**

This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorem, i.e. Theorem 4.5. We start with the case where L_0 is a cyclic extension of prime degree p, which is the simplest case but enlightens the idea for the proof of the general case, and provides an inductive step for the general case.

5.1. **The prime degree case**

We keep the notation defined in Section 3.1. Note that if $E_i \simeq \prod L_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1) = 0$ as mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Hence the Hasse principle holds in this case. We assume that E_i is a field extension for $i = 1, ..., m_1$ and $E_i \simeq \prod L_i$ for $i = m_1 + 1, ..., m$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{1, ..., m\}$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \{1, ..., m_1\}$. Note that by Lemma 4.1 $b_i^v = 0$ for $i \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}'$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5 for L_0 of prime degree. If X_c has a k-point, then it is clear that X_c has a k_v-point at each place $v \in \Omega_k$ and α is the zero map.

Conversely, for each $v \in \Omega_k$, let $(x_0^v, ..., x_m^v)$ be a k_v-point of X_c and set $b_i^v = (L_0, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(x_i^v))$. By Lemma 4.2, we can assume that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$. Suppose that α is the zero map. By Lemma 4.7, it suffices to prove that we can modify $(x_0^v, ..., x_m^v)$ so that $\sum\limits_{v \in \Omega} b_i^v = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$.

Let $a \in S$ represent an element of $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$, where S is defined as in Section 3.1. Let $I(a) = (I_0, ..., I_{q-1})$ be the corresponding partition of $\mathcal{I}'$. In the following, we prove the theorem in two steps.

Step 1. $\sum\limits_{i \in I_n} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

If $I_{n_0} = \mathcal{I}'$, then our claim is trivial by Lemma 4.3. Otherwise we pick $n_1 \neq n_0$ and let

$$J_n = \begin{cases} I_{n_0}, & \text{if } n = n_0, \\ \bigcup\limits_{l \neq n_0} I_l, & \text{if } n = n_1, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $W(I_{n_0}) = W(J_{n_0})$. We now show that $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ also represents an element of S. By the definition of S, it is enough to show that $W(J_{n_0}) \cup W(J_{n_1}) = \Omega_k$. Suppose that $v \in \Omega_k \backslash W(I_{n_0})$. Since $W(I_0) \cup ... \cup W(I_{p-1}) = \Omega_k$, there is $n(v) \neq n_0$ such that $v \in W(I_{n(v)})$. Then

$$W(J_{n_1}) = \bigcap_{i \in I_{n_0}} \Sigma_i \supseteq W(I_{n(v)}).$$

Therefore, $v \in W(J_{n_1})$ and $W(J_{n_0}) \cup W(J_{n_1}) = \Omega_k$, which means that $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ also represents an element of S. As α is the zero map, we have $\sum\limits_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \sum\limits_{i \in J_n} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} n b_i^v = \sum\limits_{i \in J_{n_0}} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} n_0 b_i^v + \sum\limits_{i \in J_{n_1}} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} n_1 b_i^v = 0$. Since $\sum\limits_{i \in \mathcal{I}'} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$, we have $(n_0 - n_1) \sum\limits_{i \in J_{n_0}} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$, which means $\sum\limits_{i \in J_{n_0}} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$. Hence for any $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\sum\limits_{i \in I_n} \sum\limits_{v \in \Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$.

Step 2. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we can modify x_i^v such that $\sum_{v\in\Omega} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i \in I_n$.

We prove this by induction on the cardinality of I_n. If $|I_n| = 0$ or 1, then from the previous step we have that $\sum_{v\in\Omega} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i \in I_n$. Suppose that our claim is true for $|I_n| < h$. For $|I_n| = h$, suppose that there are nonempty disjoint subsets I_n^0 and I_n^1 of I_n satisfying $I_n^0 \cup I_n^1 = I_n$ and $(\bigcap_{i\in I_n^0} \Sigma_i) \cup (\bigcap_{i\in I_n^1} \Sigma_i) = \Omega_k$. Then consider the element $(J_0, ... J_{p-1})$ where

$$J_l = \begin{cases} I_l, & \text{if } l \neq n,\, n+1, \\ I_n^0, & \text{if } l = n, \\ I_n^1 \cup I_{n+1}, & \text{if } l = n+1. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

From the definition of J_l, we have

$$W(J_l) = \begin{cases} W(I_l), & \text{if } l \neq n,\, n+1, \\ W(I_n) \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I_n^1} \Sigma_i), & \text{if } l = n, \\ \bigcap_{i\notin J_{n+1}} \Sigma_i \supseteq W(I_{n+1}), & \text{if } l = n+1. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Now we want to prove that $W(J_0) \cup ... \cup W(J_{p-1}) = \Omega_k$. Since $W(I_0) \cup ... \cup W(I_{p-1}) = \Omega_k$ and $W(J_l) \supseteq W(I_l)$ for $l \neq n$, we only need to verify that if $v \in W(I_n)$, then we have $v \in W(J_0) \cup ... \cup W(J_{p-1})$. If v also belongs to $\bigcap_{i\in I_n^1} \Sigma_i$, then $v \in W(J_n)$. Otherwise, we have $v \in \bigcap_{i\in I_n^0} \Sigma_i$ because $(\bigcap_{i\in I_n^0} \Sigma_i) \cup (\bigcap_{i\in I_n^1} \Sigma_i) = \Omega_k$. Since $v \in W(I_n)$, we have $v \in \bigcap_{i\notin I_n} \Sigma_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i\notin I_n\cup I_{n+1}} \Sigma_i$. Hence the fact that v is in $\bigcap_{i\in I_n^0} \Sigma_i$ implies that $v \in (\bigcap_{i\notin I_n\cup I_{n+1}} \Sigma_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I_n^0} \Sigma_i) = W(J_{n+1})$. Therefore, we have $W(J_0) \cup ... \cup W(J_{p-1}) = \Omega_k$ and $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ represents an element of $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$. Since $|J_n| < h$, by induction hypothesis, we can modify b_i^v's such that $\sum_{v\in\Omega} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i \in J_n$. By exchanging I_n^0 and I_n^1, we can make $\sum_{v\in\Omega} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i \in I_n$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$.

Suppose conversely that I_n has no such nontrivial subpartition. Then we construct a connected graph $\mathcal{G}$ with edge set $\mathcal{E}$ and vertex set $\mathcal{V}$ as follows. We define $\mathcal{V} = I_n$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{(i,j) | \Sigma_i \cup \Sigma_j \neq \Omega_k\}$. As I_n has no nontrivial subpartition, this graph is connected. To see this, let $\mathcal{V}_0$ be the vertex set of a connected component of $\mathcal{G}$ and let $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V} \backslash \mathcal{V}_0$. If $\mathcal{V}_0 \neq \mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{V}_1$ are two nonempty subsets of I_n such that there are no edges between them. By the construction of edges, this implies that $(\bigcap_{i\in\mathcal{V}_0} \Sigma_i) \cup (\bigcap_{i\in\mathcal{V}_1} \Sigma_i) = \Omega_k$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{V}^\times = \{i \in \mathcal{V} | \sum_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v \neq 0\}$. For each $i \in \mathcal{V}^\times$, let $d_i = \sum_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v$. We order $\mathcal{V}^\times$ as $\{i_0, ..., i_t\}$ such that i_r's are distinct. Since this graph is connected, there is a loop-free path starts from i_0 and ends at i_1. Along this path, for any two adjacent vertices i, j we can find a place $v \in \Omega_v \backslash (\Sigma_i \cup \Sigma_j)$. According to Lemma 4.8, by modifying x_i^v and x_j^v we can modify b_i^v to $b_i^v - d_0$ and b_j^v to

$b_j^v+d_0$. Note that this modification does not change $\sum\limits_{i\in I} b_i^v$. Therefore by Lemma 4.6, after modifying also x_0^v if necessary, the modified (x_i^v) is still a local point of X_c. After these modifications, we have $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_{i_0}^v = 0$, $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_{i_1}^v = d_1+d_0$, and all the other d_i's remain unchanged. We repeat this process to a loop-free path from i_1 to i_2. Instead of modifying each adjacent vertex by d_0, we modify each adjacent pair along the path from i_1 to i_2 by d_0+d_1 and so on. At the end, we modify each adjacent pair along the path from i_{t-1} to i_t by $\sum\limits_{r=0}^{t-1} d_r$. After this process, we have $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_{i_r}^v = 0$ for $r=0,...,t-1$ and $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_{i_t}^v = d_t + \sum\limits_{r=0}^{t-1} d_r$. However, from Step 1, we know that $\sum\limits_{r=0}^{t} d_r = 0$. Therefore, we have $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_{i_t}^v = 0$. Moreover, in Step 2 only finitely many b_i^v's are modified, so $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v. The theorem then follows.

5.2. **The prime power degree case**

We suppose that L_0 is cyclic of degree p^e, where p is a prime and $e \geq 1$. The proof of Theorem 4.5 for L_0 of prime power degree relies on the prime degree case, which is done in the previous section, and the induction on e. We keep the notation of Section 3.2.

Suppose that $L_0 \otimes L_i$ is isomorphic to a product of fields of degree p^{d_i} over L_i and $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$. Recall that in Section 3.2, we set

$$S = \{(a_1, ..., a_m) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z} | \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}} W(I_n(a)) = \Omega_k\},$$

and D is the subgroup of $\bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the diagonal element $(1, ..., 1)$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{1, ..., m\}$.

For $1 \leq j < e$, let $L_{0,-j}$ be the subfield of L_0 of degree p^{e-j} over k. Let $X_{c,-1}$ be defined by the equation

$$N_{L_{0,-1}/k}(t_{0,-1})\prod_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(t_i) = c. \tag{5.3}$$

Let α_{-1} be the Brauer-Manin map for $X_{c,-1}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $E_{-1,i} = L_{0,-1} \otimes L_i$ and $F_{-1} = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} E_{-1,i}$. Let $T_{1,-1}$ be defined by

$$1 \longrightarrow T_{1,-1} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{R}_{L_i/k}(\mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{E_{-1,i}/L_i}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \xrightarrow{N_{F_{-1}/L_{0,-1}}} \mathrm{R}^{(1)}_{L_{0,-1}/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow 1\ . \tag{5.4}$$

For the induction step on e, we need to understand the relation between $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ and $\text{Ш}^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,-1})$.

Consider the map $T_1 \to T_{1,-1}$ which is induced by the norm map $N_{L_0/L_{0,-1}}$ and denote by $\varepsilon : \hat{T}_{1,-1} \to \hat{T}_1$ the map induced on the character groups. Denote by $\varepsilon^1 : \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,-1}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k, \hat{T}_1)$ the map induced by ε. Suppose that $L_{0,-1} \otimes L_i$ is isomorphic to a product of fields of degree $p^{d_{1,i}}$. The map

$$\varepsilon^1 : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_{-1,i}/L_i})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_{1,i}}\mathbb{Z} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{H}^1(k, \mathrm{I}_{L_i/k}(\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{E_i/L_i})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$$

is the multiplication by p. Moreover d_i and $d_{1,i}$ satisfy the following relation.

Lemma 5.1. *Let d_i, $d_{1,i}$ be defined as above. Suppose that $d_{1,i} > 0$. Then $d_i = 1 + d_{1,i}$.*

Proof. Let M_i and $M_{i,-1}$ be cyclic extensions of L_i such that $L_0 \otimes_k L_i \simeq \prod M_i$ and $L_{0,-1} \otimes_k L_i \simeq \prod M_{i,-1}$. By the definition of d_i and $d_{1,i}$, we have $[M_i : L_i] = p^{d_i}$ and $[M_{i,-1} : L_i] = p^{d_{1,i}}$. As L_0 is a extension of $L_{0,-1}$ of degree p, we have $[M_i : M_{i,-1}] = 1$ or p. Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/L_i) \arrow[r, "g_2"] \arrow[d, "g_1"'] & \mathrm{Gal}(L_0/k) \arrow[d, "g_3"] \\
\mathrm{Gal}(M_{i,-1}/L_i) \arrow[r, "g_4"'] & \mathrm{Gal}(L_{0,-1}/k),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where g_i's are induced by restrictions.

Since M_i (resp. $M_{i,-1}$) is isomorphic to the composite field L_0L_i (resp. $L_{0,-1}L_i$), the maps g_2 and g_4 are injective. Suppose that $[M_i : M_{i,-1}] = 1$. Then g_1 is the identity map. As g_2 and g_4 are injective, the map g_3 induces an isomorphism between $g_2(\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/L_i))$ and $g_4(\mathrm{Gal}(M_{i,-1}/L_i))$. However g_3 is the quotient map $\mathrm{Gal}(L_0/K) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L_0/K)/H$, where H is the subgroup of order p. Since L_0 is cyclic of degree p^e, we have that H is the minimum nontrivial subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_0/K)$. Therefore $g_3 : g_2(\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/L_i)) \to g_4(\mathrm{Gal}(M_{i,-1}/L_i))$ is an isomorphism if and only if $g_2(\mathrm{Gal}(M_i/L_i)) = 1$, which means that $d_i = 0$. Hence, if $d_i > 0$, then we have $[M_i : M_{i,-1}] = p$.

By the above lemma, we have $d_i = 1 + d_{1,i}$ if $d_{1,i} > 0$. If $d_{1,i} = 0$, i.e. $L_{0,-1} \otimes L_i \simeq \prod L_i$, then $L_0 \otimes L_i$ is either isomorphic to $\prod L_i$ or is isomorphic to a product of fields of degree p over L_i. Set $r_i = \min\{1, d_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $r_i = 0$ or 1. The cokernel of ε^1 is a subgroup of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z}$. As $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$, we have $r_i \geq r_{i+1}$.

Actually, the cokernel of ε^1 takes a similar form of $\text{Ш}(L_0, E)$ defined in Section 3.1. One can describe the cokernel of ε^1 as follows.

Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z}$. Let $I^1(a) = (I^1_0(a), ..., I^1_{p-1}(a))$, where $I^1_n(a) = \{i \in \mathcal{I} | \ n \succeq a_i\}$. For $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\Sigma_i = \Sigma^0_i$ be the set of all places where E^v_i is isomorphic to a product of copies of L^v_i for all $v \in \Sigma_i$. For $I^1_n(a) \subsetneqq \mathcal{I}$, define

$$W(I^1_n(a)) = \bigcap_{i \notin I^1_n(a)} \Sigma_i. \tag{5.5}$$

For $I^1_n(a) = \mathcal{I}$, let $W(I^1_n(a)) = \Omega_k$. Set

$$S_{-1} = \{(a_1, ..., a_m) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z} | \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} W(I^1_n(a)) = \Omega_k\}.$$

Let D_{-1} be the subgroup of $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}\mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the diagonal element $(1,...,1)$.

We define an equivalence relation on S_{-1} as $a\sim b$ if and only if $a-b\in D_{-1}$ and denote by $\text{Ш}_{-1}(L_0,E)$ the equivalence classes of S_{-1}. Note that $\text{Ш}_{-1}(L_0,E)$ is a group.

Proposition 5.2. *The cokernel of the group homomorphism* $\varepsilon^1:\text{Ш}^1(k,\hat{T}_{1,-1})\to\text{Ш}^1(k,\hat{T}_1)$ *is isomorphic to* $\text{Ш}_{-1}(L_0,E)$.

Proof. Let ϖ be the projection from $\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}\to\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}\mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z}$.

We first show that ϖ maps S to S_{-1}. Let $a=(a_1,...,a_m)\in S\subseteq\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\varpi(a)=(\overline{a}_1,...,\overline{a}_{m_1})$. For $n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we have $I_n^1(\overline{a})=\bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}\\ \pi_{d_1,1}(l)=n}}I_l(a)$. Since $a=(a_1,...,a_m)\in S$, we have $\bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}}W(I_l(a))=\Omega_k$. Hence given $v\in\Omega_k$, there is some $l\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v\in W(I_l(a))$. We claim that $v\in W(I_n^1(\overline{a}))$, where $\pi_{d_1,1}(l)=n$. It is clear if $I_n^1(\overline{a})=\mathcal{I}$. Suppose that $I_n^1(\overline{a})\neq\mathcal{I}$. For $i\notin I_n^1(\overline{a})$, we have $i\notin I_l(a)$, and hence $v\in\Sigma_i^{\delta(l,a_i)}$. Let $\delta_i=\delta(l,a_i)$. Since $\pi_{d_1,1}(l)=n$, the fact that $i\notin I_n^1(\overline{a})$ implies $\delta_i=0$. Therefore $v\in\Sigma_i^0=\Sigma_i$. This proves that ϖ maps S to S_{-1}. Hence ϖ induces a map from $\text{Ш}^1(k,\hat{T}_1)\simeq S/D$ to $S_{-1}/D_{-1}=\text{Ш}_{-1}(L_0,E)$. Clearly, the image of ε^1 is in the kernel of ϖ and $\operatorname{coker}(\varepsilon^1)\subseteq(\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}}\mathbb{Z}/p^{r_i}\mathbb{Z})/D_{-1}$. We denote by ϖ' the injective map from $\operatorname{coker}(\varepsilon^1)$ to $\text{Ш}_{-1}(L_0,E)$ which is induced by ϖ.

To prove the surjectivity of ϖ', it suffices to show that given $\overline{a}=(\overline{a}_1,...,\overline{a}_{m_1})\in S_{-1}$, there is $a=(a_1,...,a_m)\in S$ such that $\varpi(a)=\overline{a}$. For each $n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we fix a lifting $l(n)\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}$. For each $i\in I_n^1(\overline{a})$, we let $a_i=\pi_{d_1,d_i}(l(n))$. We claim that a_i is well-defined. Suppose that $i\in I_{n_1}^1(\overline{a})\cap I_{n_2}^1(\overline{a})$ with $n_1\neq n_2$. Then $\pi_{1,r_i}(n_1)=\pi_{1,r_i}(n_2)$. Since $n_1\neq n_2\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we have $r_i=0$ which implies $d_i=0$. Therefore $\pi_{d_1,d_i}(l(n_1))=0=\pi_{d_1,d_i}(l(n_2))$ and $a=(a_1,...,a_m)$ is a well-defined element.

Now we verify that $\underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}}{\cup}W(I_n(a))=\Omega_k$. Since $\underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup}W(I_n^1(\overline{a}))=\Omega_k$, given $v\in\Omega_k$, there is $n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $v\in W(I_n^1(\overline{a}))$. Let $l=l(n)$. We claim that $v\in W(I_l(a))$. If $I_n^1(\overline{a})=\mathcal{I}$, then $I_l(a)=\mathcal{I}$ and the claim is clear. Suppose that $I_n^1(\overline{a})\neq\mathcal{I}$. If $i\notin I_l(a)$, then $i\notin I_n^1(\overline{a})$ by our construction of a. Hence $v\in\Sigma_i^0\subseteq\Sigma_i^{\delta(l,a_i)}$. Therefore $(a)\in S$ and ϖ' is surjective.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that* X_c *has a* k_v*-point for all* $v\in\Omega_k$*. Then we have* $\alpha\circ\varepsilon^1=\alpha_{-1}$.

Proof. Let $(x_i^v)\in\prod_{v\in\Omega_k}X_c(k_v)$. Clearly we have a natural map from X_c to $X_{c,-1}$, which sends $(x_0,...,x_m)$ to $(N_{L_0/L_{0,-1}}(x_0),x_1,...,x_m)$. Let $y_i^v=N_{L_i/k}(x_i^v)$, $b_i^v=\operatorname{inv}(L_0^v,y_i^v)$ and $b_{-1,i}^v=\operatorname{inv}(L_{0,-1}^v,y_i^v)$. Since $L_{0,-1}$ is the subfield of L_0 with $[L_0:L_{0,-1}]=p$, we have $b_{-1,i}^v=pb_i^v$. Let $a=(a_1,...,a_m)\in$

$\overset{m}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \mathbb{Z}/d^{1,i}\mathbb{Z}$ represent an element in $Ш^1(k, \hat{T}_{1,-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \circ \varepsilon^1(a) &= \alpha(pa_1, ..., pa_m)\\ &= \sum_{v\in\Omega}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} a_i(pb_i^v)\\ &= \sum_{v\in\Omega}\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} a_i(b_{-1,i}^v) = \alpha_{-1}(a).\end{aligned}$$

The claim then follows.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.5 for prime power degree case.

Proof of Theorem 4.5 for L_0 of prime power degree. It is clear that if X_c has a k-point, then X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $\alpha = 0$. Conversely, suppose that X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $\alpha = 0$.

Claim. The variety X_c has a k-point.

We show our claim by induction on the exponent e. For $e = 1$, it is proven in Section 5.1.

Suppose that the claim is true for all L_0 of degree p^e where $1 \le e < f$. Now let $e = f$ and $(x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$. Let $b_i^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, N_{L_i/k}(x_i^v))$. By Lemma 4.2, we can assume that $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$. By Lemma 4.7, to prove the claim, it suffices to prove that we can modify $(x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$ so that $\sum_{v\in\Omega_v} b_i^v = 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \Omega_k$. Note that as $(x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$, we have $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, c)$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$.

Since X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$, the variety $X_{c,-1}$ also has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$. As α is the zero map, by Lemma 5.3 the Brauer-Manin map α_{-1} for $X_{c,-1}$ is also the zero map. Therefore $X_{c,-1}$ has a k-point by induction hypothesis. Let $(z_0, z_1, ..., z_m)$ be such a k-point. Let $y_i = N_{L_i/k}(z_i)$, $h_i^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, y_i)$ and $h_{-1,i}^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_{0,-1}^v, y_i)$. Since $(z_0, z_1, ..., z_m)$ is a k-point of $X_{c,-1}$, we have $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_{-1,i}^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_{0,-1}^v, c)$. Therefore $p\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_{-1,i}^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_{0,-1}^v, c)$. As $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v = \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, c)$, for all $v \in \Omega_k$, we have

$$p\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v = p \cdot \mathrm{inv}(L_0^v, c) = \mathrm{inv}(L_{0,-1}^v, c) = p\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v.$$

Note that if $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v$, then by Lemma 3.5 we can modify z_0 by some $z_0^v \in (L_0^v)^\times$ so that $(z_0^v, z_1, ..., z_m)$ is a k_v-point of X_c.

Now we split the proof into the following steps.

Step 1. Given a place $v \in \Omega_v$, we can modify z_i by some $z_i^v \in (L_i^v)^\times$ so that $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v$.

First note that h_i^v's come from a k-point of $X_{c,-1}$, so $h_i^v = 0$ for almost all v. As $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v, for almost places v we have $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v$.

Suppose that $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v \neq \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v$ at place v. Then there is some i such that $v \notin \Sigma_i$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4.1 $b_i^v = h_i^v = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, which contradicts $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v \neq \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v$. Since $p\sum\limits_i b_i^v = p\sum\limits_i h_i^v$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we know that $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v - \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 4.8, we can modify z_i^v so that $\operatorname{inv}(L_0^v, N_{L_i^v/k_v}(z_i^v)) = h_i^v - \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}}(h_i^v - b_i^v)$. As $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v - \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, after modification, $h_{-1,i}^v = ph_i^v$ remains unchanged. Since we only modify at finitely many places, $h_i^v = 0$ for almost all places v.

Step 2. We can modify the local points $x = (x_i^v)$ so that $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Note that before we modify the z_i^v in Step 1, the (z_i^v) comes from a k-point $(z_0, z_1, ..., z_m)$ of $X_{c,-1}$. Therefore for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} h_i^v = 0$. However in Step 1, each time when we modify z_i^v, we modify some h_i^v by $-\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}}(h_i^v - b_i^v)$ which is in $\frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore after Step 1, we have $\sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} h_i^v = \sum\limits_{i\in\mathcal{I}} b_i^v$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} h_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$. By Lemma 4.6, for each place $v \in \Omega_k$, there is some $x_0'^v \in (L_0^v)^\times$ such that $(x_0'^v, z_1^v, ..., z_m^v) \in X_c(k_v)$. Replace $(x_0^v, ..., x_m^v)$ by $(x_0'^v, z_1^v, ..., z_m^v)$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Then we have $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v.

Step 3. We can modify x_i^v so that $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $(x_i^v) \in \prod\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} X_c(k_v)$ be local points such that $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v. Let ϖ be defined as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in S \subseteq \bigoplus\limits_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}/p^{d_i}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\overline{a} = \varpi(a) = (\overline{a}_1, ..., \overline{a}_m) \in S_{-1}$. Then

$$\alpha(a_1, ..., a_m) = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} a_i(\sum_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v) = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \overline{a}_i(\sum_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v).$$

Then α induces a map $\overline{\alpha} : Ш_{-1}(L_0, E) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Let m_1 be the integer such that $d_{m_1} > 0$ and $d_{m_1+1} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{I}' = \{1, ..., m_1\}$ Since $r_i = \min\{1, d_i\}$, $r_i = 1$ if $d_i > 0$ and $r_i = 0$ otherwise. Therefore $\overline{a}_i = 0$ for all $i > m_1$ and there is a one-to-one correspondence between $(\overline{a}_1, ..., \overline{a}_{m_1})$ and $(\overline{a}_1, ..., \overline{a}_m)$. Let $I^1(\overline{a}) \cap \mathcal{I}' = (I_1^1(a) \cap \mathcal{I}', ..., I_{p-1}^1(a) \cap \mathcal{I}')$. Since $r_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m_1$, $I^1(\overline{a}) \cap \mathcal{I}'$ is a partition of I'. The set S_{-1} is then in one-to-one correspondence with the partitions $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ of $\mathcal{I}'$ such that $\bigcup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} W(J_n) = \Omega_k$. The fact that $\overline{\alpha}$=0 and Lemma 4.8 then enable us to apply the modifying process in the proof of the prime degree case. In this way, we get local points $(x_i^v) \in \prod\limits_{v\in\Omega_v} X_c(k_v)$ such that $\sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_k} b_i^v = 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $b_i^v = 0$ for almost all v. Our assertion then follows.

5.3. **The general case**

Let L_0 be a cyclic extension of degree $q = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{e_j}$, where p_j's are distinct primes. Let $q_j = p_j^{e_j}$ and $L_{0,j}$ be the subfield of L_0 of degree q_j. We keep the notation introduced in Section 3.3.

For $c \in K^\times$, we let $X_{c,j}$ be the $T_{0,j}$-torsor defined by the multinorm equation

$$N_{L_{0,j}/k}(x_{0,j}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.5 for L_0 of arbitrary degree relies on the proof of the prime power degree case. We start with the following observation.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $c \in k^\times$. Then X_c has a k-point if and only if $X_{c,j}$ has a k-point for all j.*

Proof. It is clear that if X_c has a k-point, then $X_{c,j}$ has a k-point for all j. Suppose that for each j, $X_{c,j}$ has a k-point $(x_{0,j}, x_{1,j}, ...x_{m,j}) \in L_{0,j} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} L_i$. Let $r_j = \prod_{l \neq j} q_l$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j r_j = 1$. Let $\tilde{x}_i = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (x_{i,j})^{b_j r_j}$ for $i = 1, ..., m$ and $\tilde{x}_0 = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (x_{0,j})^{b_j}$. Then it is easy to check that $(\tilde{x}_0, ..., \tilde{x}_m)$ is a k-point of X_c.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Suppose that X_c has a k-point. Then it is clear that $X_{c,j}(k)$ is not empty for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and hence $\alpha = 0$. Conversely, suppose that X_c has a k_v-point for all v and $\alpha = 0$. Then $X_{c,j}$ has a k_v-point for all v. Let α_j be the Brauer-Manin map for $X_{c,j}$. The map $\alpha = 0$ implies that $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., s$. Since $L_{0,j}$ is a cyclic extension of prime power degree, $X_{c,j}$ has a point over k for all $j = 1, ..., s$. By Lemma 5.4, X_c has a k-point.

In the following we apply the main theorem to the case where L_0 is a metacyclic extension of k, i.e. all the p-Sylow subgroups of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_0/k)$ are cyclic. As before, let X_c be defined by equation (0.2). Let L_0 be a metacyclic of degree $q = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{e_j}$, where p_j's are distinct primes. Let $q_j = p_j^{e_j}$ and $r_j = q/q_j$. For $1 \leq j \leq s$, let G_j be a p_j-Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_0/k)$ and $\overline{L}_j$ be the subfield of L_0 fixed by G_j. Note that $[\overline{L}_j : k] = r_j$. Let X_c^j be $X_c \otimes_k \overline{L}_j$. Then the injection $k \to \overline{L}_j$ induces a natural injection from $X_c(k)$ to $X_c(\overline{L}_j) = X_c^j(\overline{L}_j)$.

Suppose that X_c has a k_v-point (x_i^v) for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Then X_c^j has a $\overline{L}_{j,w}$-point for all $w \in \Omega_{\overline{L}_j}$. As L_0 is a cyclic extension of $\overline{L}_j$, we can define the Brauer-Manin map α_j for X_c^j. The necessary and sufficient condition for the Hasse principle for X_c to hold is the following.

Corollary 5.5. *Let L_0 be a metacyclic extension. Then X_c has a k-point if and only if X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$.*

Proof. As we have mentioned above, if X_c has a k_v-point for all $v \in \Omega_k$, then X_c^j has a $\overline{L}_{j,w}$-point for all $w \in \Omega_{\overline{L}_j}$. As $\alpha_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$, by Theorem 4.5 the variety X_c^j has a $\overline{L}_j$-point. Let $(x_{j,i})$ be a $\overline{L}_j$-point of X_c^j, where $x_{j,i} \in (\overline{L}_j \otimes_k L_i)^\times$. Let $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j r_j = 1$. Let $z_i = \prod_{j=1}^{s} N_{\overline{L}_j \otimes L_i / L_i}(x_{j,i})^{b_j}$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j r_j = 1$, we have that (z_i) is a point of X_c.

The other direction is trivial. Our assertion then follows.

6. **Examples**

6.1. **Examples for $Ш(k, \hat{T}_0) = 0$**

Let L_0 be a cyclic extension with $[L_0 : k] = q$. Let M_i be a field extension of L_i such that $L_0 \otimes_k L_i = \prod M_i$ and let $[M_i : L_i] = d_i$. The degree d_i is said to be maximal if there is no $d_j > d_i$ such that $d_i | d_j$. Set

$$J = \{1 \leq i \leq m | \; d_i \text{ is maximal}\}.$$

As we have seen in Section 3, the group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ can be described with ramification patterns of the L_i's. In particular, suppose that there is a place $v \in \Omega_k$ satisfying the following condition:

(∗) For all $i \in J$, there is a place w_i of L_i above v and a field extension $\tilde{M}_i$ of $L_i^{w_i}$ of degree d_i such that $L_0 \otimes_k L_i^{w_i} = \prod \tilde{M}_i$.

Then from the description of the set S defined in Section 3, the group $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0)$ is trivial.

Here are some examples where the above condition is satisfied.

Example 6.1. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and let L_0 be an imaginary quadratic field. Let L_i be a totally real number field for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $q = d_i = 2$. Let v be the real place. Then for any place w_i of L_i above v, we have that $L_0 \otimes L_i^{w_i}$ is still a field extension of $L_i^{w_i}$ of degree 2. Therefore $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) = 0$ and the Hasse principle for multinorm equations is always true in this case.

Example 6.2. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and p_0,..., p_m be distinct primes. Let L_i be the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $q = d_i = 2$. At the finite place $v = p_0$, the field L_0^v is totally ramified and L_i^v is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_v \times \mathbb{Q}_v$ or is a non-ramified extension. Therefore at any place w_i of L_i over v, we have that $L_0^v \otimes L_i^{w_i}$ is still a field extension. Hence $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) = 0$. More generally, if there is a finite place v such that v is indecomposed in L_0 and L_0^v is totally ramified and L_i is non ramified at v for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then the Hasse principle for multinorm equations is always true.

6.2. **Examples for prime degree extensions**

Let us consider the following special case where L_i's are quadratic extensions.

Example 6.3. Suppose that L_0,...,L_m are distinct separable quadratic extensions of k and $m \geq 3$. Then $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) = Ш(L_0, E) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $Ш(L_0, E) \neq 0$. Then there is a non-trivial partition (I_0, I_1) of $\{1, ..., m\}$ such that $W(I_0) \cup W(I_1) = \Omega_k$. Note that one of the sets I_0, I_1 has cardinality greater than $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. Without loss of generality, we assume $|I_1| \geq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 1$. Since L_i's are distinct quadratic extensions, we have the composite fields $L_iL_j \simeq L_i \otimes_k L_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $i \in I_0$ and $j \in I_1$. As $W(I_0) \cup W(I_1) = \Omega_k$, we have $L_0 \otimes_k (L_iL_j) \otimes_k k_v \simeq \prod (L_iL_j) \otimes_k k_v$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Hence $L_0 \otimes_k (L_iL_j) \simeq \prod (L_iL_j)$, which means that L_0 is a subfield of L_iL_j for all $j \in I_1$ and $L_0L_i = L_iL_j$. Therefore $L_j \subseteq L_0L_i$ for all $j \in I_1$. However, as a biquadratic extension L_0L_i has exact one subfield of degree 2 other than L_0 and L_i, which is a contradiction since $|I_1| \geq 2$.

Remark 6.4. The above example cannot be directly deduced from [DW14] Theorem 1. For instance, let p_1, p_2, p_3 be distinct primes and $L_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1p_2p_3})$. Then there is no nontrivial partition satisfying the hypothesis of [DW14] Theorem 1. However by Example 6.3, we have $Ш(L_0, E) = 0$, which implies that the multinorm equation $\prod_{i=0}^{3} N_{L_i/k}(t_i) = c$ satisfies the Hasse principle.

With a similar argument, we prove a more general statement in the following. We start with the definition of local degrees.

Definition 6.5. A finite separable extension F of k is said to have local degrees less than or equal to d if for all places $v \in \Omega_k$, $F \otimes_k k_v$ is a product of fields over k_v with degrees less than or equal to d.

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that L_0,...,L_m are distinct separable extensions of k with prime degree p and L_0 is cyclic. If $Ш(L_0, E) \neq 0$, then $m \geq 2$ and there is a separable extension F of degree p^2 whose local degrees are less than or equal to p such that L_i's are distinct subfields of F. Conversely, if $m \geq 2$ and there is a separable extension F of degree p^2 whose local degrees are less than or equal to p such that L_i's are distinct subfields of F, then $Ш(L_0, E) \neq 0$. Moreover, we have $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) = Ш(L_0, E) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m-1}$ in this case.*

Proof. Suppose that $Ш(L_0, E) \neq 0$. Clearly, we have $m > 1$. We claim that there is a nontrivial partition (I_0, I_1) of $\{1, ..., m\}$ which satisfies $W(I_0) \cup W(I_1) = \Omega_k$. To see this, let $(J_0, ..., J_{p-1})$ be a nontrivial partition of $\{1, ..., m\}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} W(J_n) = \Omega_k$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that J_0 is nonempty. Let $I_0 = J_0$ and $I_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{p-1} J_n$. As $W(I_0) = W(J_0)$ and $W(I_1) \supseteq W(J_n)$ for all $n \neq 0$, we have the desired partition (I_0, I_1). Let L_iL_j be the composite field of L_i and L_j. Note that L_i and L_j are not necessarily cyclic. Hence at the stage we don't know if $L_i \otimes_k L_j$ is isomorphic to L_iL_j or not. However, for $v \in \Sigma_i$, we have

$$L_0 \otimes_k (L_iL_j)^v \simeq L_0 \otimes_k L_i^v \otimes_{L_i^v} (L_iL_j)^v \simeq \prod (L_iL_j)^v.$$

Since $W(I_0) \cup W(I_1) = \Omega_k$, for $i \in I_0$ and for $j \in I_1$, we have that

$$L_0 \otimes_k (L_i L_j)^v \simeq \prod (L_i L_j)^v$$

for all $v \in \Omega_k$. Therefore L_0 is a subfield of $L_i L_j$. As L_0 is cyclic and $L_i \neq L_0$, we have $L_0 \otimes_k L_i \simeq L_0 L_i \subseteq L_i L_j$. Since the degree of $L_i L_j$ is at most p^2, we have $L_0 L_i = L_i L_j = L_0 L_j$ and $L_i \otimes_k L_j \simeq L_i L_j$.

Let $i \in I_0$ and $F = L_0 L_i$. From the argument above, the field F is independent of the choice of i and $F = L_0 L_i = L_i L_j = L_0 L_j$ for all $i \in I_0$ and all $j \in I_1$. Therefore L_0,..., L_m are subfields of F. Since $Ш(L_0, E) \neq 0$, at each place $v \in \Omega_k$, there exists some $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $L_0 \otimes_k L_i \otimes_k k_v \simeq \prod L_i^v$. As L_i has degree p, all local degrees of F are less than or equal to p.

Now let F be a separable extension of degree p^2. Suppose that L_0,..., L_m are distinct subfields of F and local degrees of F are less than or equal to p. It suffices to prove that for all non-trivial partitions $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1})$ of $\{1, ..., m\}$, we have $\underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n) = \Omega_k$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists some nontrivial partition $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1})$ such that there exists $v \in \Omega_k \backslash \underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n)$. Since $v \notin W(I_0)$, there exists $i \notin I_0$ such that $v \notin \Sigma_i$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $i \in I_{n_1}$. Since $v \notin W(I_{n_1})$, there exists $j \notin I_{n_1}$ such that $v \notin \Sigma_j$.

Note that L_0 is a cyclic extension of degree p. Hence $L_0 \otimes_k L_i$ (resp. $L_0 \otimes_k L_j$) is a field of degree p^2 and we have

$$L_0 \otimes_k L_i \simeq L_0 \otimes_k L_j \simeq F.$$

Since $v \notin \Sigma_i$, we have that L_0^v is a field of degree p. Suppose that $L_i^v \simeq \prod M_l$ where M_l's are extensions of k_v. If $1 < \dim_{k_v} M_l < p$ for some l, then M_l is linearly disjoint with L_0^v for the degree reason. Hence $L_0^v \otimes_{k_v} M_l$ is a field of degree greater than p, which contradicts to our assumption on the local degrees of F. Hence the algebra L_i^v is either a field or a product k_v. However, if L_i^v and L_0^v are both fields, then $F \otimes_k k_v \simeq E_i^v$ is a field of degree p^2 since $v \notin \Sigma_i$. This is again a contradiction. Therefore, we have that $L_i^v \simeq \prod k_v$ and $F \otimes_k k_v \simeq E_i^v \simeq (L_0^v)^p$.

Since $v \notin \Sigma_j$, the same argument shows that $L_j^v \simeq \prod k_v$. Let d be the degree of the composite field $L_i L_j$. As L_i and L_j are distinct fields of degree p, we have $d = lp$ for some $l > 1$. Since $L_i^v \simeq \prod k_v$ and $L_j^v \simeq \prod k_v$, we have $L_i L_j \otimes_k k_v \simeq (k_v)^d$. Hence $(k_v)^d \hookrightarrow F \otimes_k k_v \simeq (L_0^v)^p$, which is a contradiction because $d > p$. Therefore for all non-trivial partitions $(I_0, ..., I_{p-1})$ of $\mathcal{I}$, we have $\underset{n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n) = \Omega_k$. In particular, we have $Ш(L_0, E) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{m-1}$.

Assume furthermore that L_i's are all cyclic extensions of degree p. We have immediately the following corollary.

Corollary 6.7. *Suppose that* L_0,...,L_m *are distinct cyclic extensions of prime degree* p *over* k *and* $m > p$. *Then* $Ш^2(k, \hat{T}_0) = Ш(L_0, E) = 0$

Proof. If not, then by Proposition 6.6, L_i's are subfields of a degree p^2 extension F. Since L_i's are cyclic, the field F is bicyclic. However, a bicyclic extension has exact $p+1$ distinct subfields of degree p. Hence $m \leq p$, which is a contradiction.

6.3. Examples for cyclotomic extensions

Next we give a example about the multinorm of cyclotomic fields. For a positive integer n, let ζ_n be a primitive n-th root of unity.

Example 6.8. Let $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{3^2})$, $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{5^2})$ and $L_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15})$. Then $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0) = Ш(L_0, E) = 0$.

Proof. First note that there is only one nontrivial partition of $\{1, 2\}$. Hence by Proposition 3.4, the group $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ is generated by one element and has order dividing 6. As L_0 and L_1 are both cyclic extensions and exchanging L_0 and L_1 makes no difference to the multinorm equation, we can exchange L_0 and L_1 to compute $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ and get that the order of $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ divides 20. Hence the order of $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ divides 2.

Let L_0' be the degree two subfield of L_0. Define E_i', E' as E_i, E by replacing L_0 by L_0'. Since the order of $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ divides 2, by Proposition 3.4 we have $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0) \simeq Ш(L_0', E')$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3) \subseteq L_0$. Hence $L_0' = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$. As $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3) \subseteq L_2$, we have $E_2' = L_0' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L_2 \simeq L_2 \times L_2$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $Ш(L_0', E') = 0$.

The more general form of the above example is the following:

Proposition 6.9. *Let p, q be distinct odd primes. Let $L_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$, $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q^2})$ and $L_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{pq})$. Then $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0) = Ш(L_0, E) = 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p > q$. As L_0 and L_1 are both cyclic extensions, by the same argument of Example 6.8, we have the group $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ has order dividing $(p-1)$.

Let L_0' be the degree $p-1$ subfield of L_0. Define E_i', E' as E_i, E and define T_0' as in (0.3) by replacing L_0 by L_0' . Since the order of $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0)$ divides $p-1$, by Proposition 3.4 we have $Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0) \simeq Ш^2(\mathbb{Q}, \hat{T}_0')$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subseteq L_0$. Hence $L_0' = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. With the same argument in Example 6.8, we have $Ш(L_0', E') = 0$.

7. Applications

The following is a well-known result due to Serre and Tate ([CF], exercise 5.2, p.360):

Proposition 7.1. *Let $L = k(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2})$, $K_1 = k(\sqrt{a_1})$, $K_2 = k(\sqrt{a_2})$, and $K_3 = k(\sqrt{a_1 a_2})$. Let $N_i = N_{K_i/k}(K_i^\times)$. Define $\omega : k \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $w(c) = \sum_{v \in \Omega_1} (c, a_2)_v$, where Ω_1 is the set of places v where $K_1^v \simeq \prod k_v$. Suppose that all the local degrees of L are 1 or 2. Then ω induces an isomorphism between $k^\times / N_1 N_2 N_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Now let L be a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Using Theorem 4.5, we generalize the above proposition as follows.

Proposition 7.2. *Let L_i be the subfield field of L fixed by $(1,i)$ for $i=1,...,p$ and let L_0 be the subfield field of L fixed by $(0,1)$. Let $N_i = N_{L_i/k}(L_i^{\times})$. Let Ω_i be the set of places v where $L_i^v \simeq \prod k_v$. Define $\omega : k \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{p-1}$ by*

$$w(c) = (\sum_{v\in\Omega_1}(L_0,c)_v, ..., \sum_{v\in\Omega_{p-1}}(L_0,c)_v).$$

Suppose that all the local degrees of L are 1 or p. Then ω induces an isomorphism between $k^{\times}/\prod_{i=0}^{p} N_i$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{p-1}$.

In order to prove the above proposition, we need the following lemma :

Lemma 7.3. *Keep the notation of Proposition 7.2. Then we have $\text{Ш}(L_0,E) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^p/\langle(1,...,1)\rangle$.*

Proof. We keep the notation of Section 3.1. Note that $L_0 \otimes_k L_i \simeq L$ for all $i=1,...,p$. Hence we have $\mathcal{I}' = \{1,...,p\}$ in this case. It suffices to prove that for all non-trivial partitions $(I_0,...,I_{p-1})$ of $\mathcal{I}$, we have $\underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n) = \Omega_k$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists some nontrivial partition $(I_0,...,I_{p-1})$ such that there exists $v \in \Omega_k \backslash \underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n)$. Since $v \notin W(I_0)$, there exists $i \notin I_0$ such that $v \notin \Sigma_i$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $i \in I_{n_1}$. Since $v \notin W(I_{n_1})$, there exists $j \notin I_{n_1}$ such that $v \notin \Sigma_j$. By the definition of L_i's, we have

$$L_0 \otimes_k L_i \simeq L_0 \otimes_k L_j \simeq L_i \otimes_k L_j \simeq L.$$

Note that L_i is a field extension of degree p. Hence for a place v, we have either $v \in \Omega_i$ or L_i^v is a field. Since $E_j^v = L_0^v \otimes_{k_v} L_j^v \simeq L_i^v \otimes_{k_v} L_j^v$ and $v \notin \Sigma_j$, we conclude that L_i^v is a field extension of k_v. As $L_v \simeq L_0 \otimes_{k_v} L_i^v$ and $v \notin \Sigma_i$, we conclude that L_v is a field extension of degree p^2, which is a contradiction. Therefore for all non-trivial partitions $(I_0,...,I_{p-1})$ of $\mathcal{I}$, we have $\underset{n\in\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}{\cup} W(I_n) = \Omega_k$.

Proof of Proposition 7.2. We first prove the injectivity, namely that $\omega(c) = 0$ if and only if $c \in \prod_{i=0}^{p} N_i$. Consider the equation

$$\prod_{i=0}^{p} N_{L_i/k}(x_i) = c, \tag{7.1}$$

and let X_c be the variety defined by this equation. Since all the local degrees of L are 1 or p, we have $\Omega_k = \underset{0\le i\le p}{\cup} \Omega_i$. Hence equation (7.1) has a solution locally. By Theorem 4.5 it suffices to prove that $\omega(c) = 0$ if and only if the map $\alpha = 0$.

Suppose that $\omega(c) = 0$. For each $1 \le j \le p$, let $z_j = (x_i^v) \in \prod_{v\in\Omega_k} X(k_v)$ such that $N_{L_j^v/k_v}(x_j^v) = c$ for each $v \in \Omega_j$ and $x_j^v = 1$ otherwise. For each $1 \le i \le p-1$, let J_i be the partition $(I_0,...,I_{p-1})$ such that $I_1 = \{i\}$ and

$I_0 = \mathcal{I}\backslash\{i\}$. By Lemma 7.3 the equivalence class $[J_i]$ is in $Ш(L_0, E)$ and $\{[J_i]\}$ forms a set of generators of $Ш(L_0, E)$. By Proposition 4.4, we have $\alpha([J_i]) = \alpha_{z_i}([J_i]) = \sum\limits_{v\in\Omega} b_i^v = \sum\limits_{v\in\Omega_i} (L_0, c)_v$. It is then clear that $\omega(c) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha([J_i]) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. This proves the injectivity.

To prove the surjectivity, we first note that given $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, there exist $v_i \in \Omega_i\backslash \bigcup\limits_{j\neq i} \Omega_j$ and $v_p \in \Omega_p\backslash \bigcup\limits_{j\neq p} \Omega_j$. To see this, by Chebotarev Density Theorem there exists $v_i \in \Omega_i\backslash\Omega_0$. Then $v_i \notin \Omega_j$ for all $j \neq i$, otherwise $L_v \simeq L_i^v \otimes_{k_v} L_j^v \simeq (k_v)^{p^2}$ which cannot have a subfield isomorphic to L_0^v. A similar argument shows the existence of $v_p \in \Omega_p\backslash \bigcup\limits_{j\neq p} \Omega_j$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, there exists $c_i \in k^\times$ such that $(L_0, c_i)_{v_i} = 1$, $(L_0, c_i)_{v_p} = p-1$ and $(L_0, c_i)_v = 0$ for $v \in \Omega_k\backslash\{v_i, v_p\}$. By the choice of v_i, v_p, the set $\{\omega(c_i)\}$ is a set of generators of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{p-1}$.

References

[Bo99] M. Borovoi, *A cohomological obstruction to the Hasse principle for homogeneous spaces*, Math. Ann. **314** (1999), 491-504.

[BN16] T. D. Browning and R. Newton, *The proportion of failures of the Hasse norm principle*, Mathematika **62** (2016), 337-347.

[CT14] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Groupe de Brauer non ramifié d'espaces homogènes de tores*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **26** (2014), 69-83.

[CF] J. W. S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Washington 1967.

[DW14] C. Demarche and D. Wei, *Hasse principle and weak approximation for multinorm equations*, Israel J. Math. **202** (2014), no.1, 275-293.

[FLN] C. Frei, D. Loughran and R. Newton, *The Hasse norm principle for abelian extensions*, preprint.

[GS] P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[Ha31] H. Hasse, *Beweis eines Satzes und Wiederlegung einer Vermutung über das allgemeine Normenrestsymbol*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen (1931), 64-69.

[Ha32] H. Hasse, *Theory of cyclic algebras over an algebraic number field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 171-214.

[Hu84] W. Hürlimann, *On algebraic tori of norm type*, Comment. Math. Helv. **59** (1984), 539-549.

[PlR 94] V. Platonov and A.S. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.

[PoR13] T. Pollio and A. S. Rapinchuk, *The multinorm principle for linearly disjoint Galois extensions*, J. Number Theory **133** (2013), 802-821.

[PR10] G. Prasad and A. S. Rapinchuk, *Local-global principles for embedding of fields with involution into simple algebras with involution*, Comment. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), 583-645.

[San81] J.-J. Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **327** (1981), 12-80.

Eva Bayer–Fluckiger and Ting-Yu Lee
EPFL-FSB-MATHGEOM-CSAG
Station 8
1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

eva.bayer@epfl.ch
ting-yu.lee@epfl.ch

Raman Parimala
Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322, USA.

parimala@mathcs.emory.edu